



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,576	06/29/2005	Toshitada Noguchi	2005_0034A	4025
513	7590	03/31/2008	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			ARIANI, KADEX	
2033 K STREET N. W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			1651	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/31/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,576	NOGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KADE ARIANI	1651	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18/2005</u>	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed on June 29, 2005, has been received and entered.

Claims 1-7 are pending in this application and were examined on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitations "...cells or processed products thereof are employed as the ...epimerase, NeuAc synthase...." in claims 3 and 6, are confusing, it is unclear which is it that the applicant is trying to claim in the method, a transformant, a processed product of cells, or the enzymes therefore claims 3 and 6 are indefinite.

The recitations "... and a processed product of cells ...as the CMP-NeuAc synthase" in claims 4 and 7 are confusing, it is unclear which is it that the applicant is trying to claim in the method, a transformant, a processed product of cells, or the enzymes therefore claims 6-7 are indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koizumi et al. (US 2002/0064836 A1) in view of Plumbridge & Vimr (Journal of Bacteriology, 1999, Vol. 181, No.1, p47-54), and further in view of Ishige et al. (in IDS, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem., 2001, Vol. 65, No. 8, p.1736-1740), and Tabata et al. (Enzyme & Microbial Technology, March 2002, Vol. 30, p.237-333).

Claims 1-4 are drawn to a process for producing CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid (CMP-NeuAc), comprises adding yeast cells, N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate 2-epimerase (GlcNAc-6P-2-epimerase), N-acetylneuraminic acid lyase (NeuAc lyase), and CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid synthase (CMP-NeuAc synthase) to a reaction system containing N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), pyruvate, and cytidine 5'-monophosphate (CMP), and inducing reaction of the mixture.

Claims 5-7 are drawn to a process for producing CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid (CMP-NeuAc), comprises adding yeast cells, N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate 2-epimerase (GlcNAc-6P-2-epimerase), N-acetylneuraminic acid synthase (NeuAc synthase), and CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid synthase (CMP-NeuAc synthase) to a reaction system containing N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and cytidine 5'-monophosphate (CMP), and inducing reaction of the mixture.

Koizumi et al. teach a process for producing CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid (CMP-NeuAc), comprises adding yeast cell (page 7 0103), N-acetylneuraminic acid aldolase (also called NeuAc lyase), and CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid synthase (CMP-NeuAc synthase), N-acetylneuraminic acid synthase (NeuAc synthase) (page 2 0026, Table 1.) to a reaction system containing N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), pyruvate, and cytidine 5'-monophosphate (CMP) (page 8-9 0126, 0127, and 0128), and inducing reaction of the mixture.

Koizumi et al. also teach the process is useful for the production of complex carbohydrates which is useful for protection against infection of bacteria, viruses, and the like, application to cardiovascular disorders and immunotherapy, and a process which uses inexpensive nucleotide precursors, sugars, and complex carbohydrate precursors as the starting material (page 1 0001 and 0005, page 13 0185-0197).

Koizumi et al. do not teach adding N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate 2-epimerase (GlcNAc-6P-2-epimerase) in the reaction mixture. However, Plumbridge & Vimr teach GlcNAc-6P-2-epimerase involvement in the metabolism of N-acetylneuraminic acid (sialic acid) and catalyzed the conversion of N-acetylmannosamine 6-phosphate to N-acetylglucosamine 6-phosphate (see Abstract and p.48 Fig.1.).

Plumbridge & Vimr further teach the existence of the putative gene for the ManNAc kinase and epimerase function within the *nanAT* operon allows the metabolic pathway for use of sialic acid to converge with that of ManNAc and GlcNAc at the common intermediate GlcNAc-6-P (p.53 1st column 2nd paragraph).

Further motivation is in Tabata et al. who teach pathways for the formation of NeuAc the development of a microbial transformation for the synthesis of NeuAc using bacterial cells, because N-acetyl-D-mannosamine (ManNAc) is very expensive and not readily available for large-scale (Abstract and Introduction 2nd column).

Even further motivation is in Ishige et al. who teach because CMP-NeuAc synthetase responsible for biosynthesis of CMP-NeuAc requires expensive CTP as a cytidylyl donor, an enzymatic method to produce CMP-NeuAc should contain an appropriate CTP-generating system, and using yeast cells as CTP-generating system form CMP and CMP-NeuAc synthetase(p.1736 Introduction 2nd column).

Therefore, in view of the above teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Koizumi et al. and use N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate 2-epimerase as taught by Plumbridge & Vimr in order to provide a process for producing CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid (CMP-NeuAc). The motivation as taught by Koizumi et al. and Ishige et al. would be the potential of CMP-NeuAc, and NeuAc related compounds for the development of therapeutics, also to provide an efficient process useful for the production of CMP-NeuAc using inexpensive precursors.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kade Ariani whose telephone number is (571) 272-6083. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm EST Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leon B Lankford Jr/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

Kade Ariani
Examiner
Art Unit 1651